

Download In Re Disciplinary Proceeding Against Donald R. Smith

In re Disciplinary Proceeding Against Jackson, 180 Wn.2d 201, 220, 322 P.3d 795 (2014). Since Osborne tethered his appeal to his due process arguments, he argues de novo review of the full record should apply. Our order limiting its review to the hearing officer's findings implies that the traditional standard of review will apply. No heightened standard is necessary. See In re Disciplinary Proceeding Against Kuvara, 149 Wash.2d 237, 259, 66 P.3d 1057 (2003) (holding that the court would “retain the Noble factors of proportionality and degree of unanimity, but discard the remaining three as redundant due to the existence of similar provisions in the Standards and the ELC”); In re Disciplinary Proceeding ... The respondent, Donald R. Smith, was admitted to the practice of law in the state of Washington on February 20, 1964. Since that date he has continuously resided in the state and, until April 18, 1974, has been an active member of the Washington State Bar Association. In re Disciplinary Proceedings Against DeGracie, 2004 WI 44, 270 Wis.2d 640, 678 N.W. 2d 252 (eight-month suspension for six counts of misconduct where attorney had no previous disciplinary history). ¶ 40 We conclude that the referee's findings of fact are not clearly erroneous. - In Re Disciplinary Proceeding Against Donald R. Smith